



January 25th, 2022 SSC Meeting Minutes

Attendees: David Van Natten (abbreviated DVN below), Leangela Eason (LE below), Damian Harmony (DH below), Marc Wright (MW below), Emily Malas (EM below), Marcos Pineda (MP below), Peyton Yee (PY below)

Non-attendees: Winnie Kim AND Jennifer Reynaga (whom was not informed of the meeting, in error)

1. Meeting to order at 4:06 pm (Motion: MW, 2nd: LE)
2. NO MINUTES TO REVIEW
3. Meeting days/times: 2nd Mondays at 4:00 pm AND 2nd Tuesdays at 4:00 pm (for approximately 1 hour) were offered to the committee. 2nd Tuesdays at 4:00 pm was chosen by the majority. None abstained
4. Updates to 2021-22 SPSA (submitted May 2021)
 - a. Social worker was funded centrally by SCUSD for 2021-22 school year AFTER SSC allocated funds to hire one for 2021-22 school year. In July, SSC re-allocated funds to hire a “Youth and Family Mental Health Advocate” (to assist the social worker, in theory).
5. Ideas for re-allocating 2021-22 funds
 - a. EM asked that since we didn’t have to use funds that SSC allocated for 2021-22 for a Social worker after all (SCUSD paid for it centrally), DVN mentioned SSC re-allocated much of our Social worker funds earmarked by the SPSA for 2021-22 to the Youth & Family Mental Health advocate. That employee was hired around January of this year. We have some unused funds from even that allocation that we can re-allocate and use THIS 2021-2022 school year. Those funds will NOT “roll over” so must be spent (ACTION ITEM-future meeting). DVN subsequently mentioned that the Youth/Fam advocate will be COVERED BY SCUSD for 2022-23. DVN mentioned SCUSD WILL sustain for the next few years (Social Worker AND Youth/Family Advocate).
 - b. DVN says on-site technology will need to be sustained (MP echoed)
 - c. CAN’T “ROLL \$ OVER TO NEXT YEAR”-must spend majority of it 2021-22 year
 - d. “Spend down” ideas include enhance our student access/add adapters to teacher’s classroom for seamless technology implementation, etc.
 - e. MP suggested asking the mental health advocate about what supplies they might need to help students in the future
 - i. MP also suggested SSC list what we spend \$ on currently but not have to sustain in the future
 1. DVN asked MP what data would be helpful (MP responded-requests below)
 - a. What workers will be funded 2021-22 but not 2022-23 or 2023-24
 - b. What technology will the district continually provide to students/staff?
 - c. DVN offered to “line out” anything unnecessary in 2021-22 SPSA-**I think**
6. 2022-23 SPSA preliminary discussions:
 - a. DVN said that based on projected enrollment for 2022-23 school year by SCUSD, there are some positions that are due to be cut for 2022-23. DVN stated it is possible (some of) the position(s) could be added back in if JFK’s enrollment increases (around October 2022)

- i. Per DVN, JFK's most glaring need is to save teaching positions for 2022-23 school year
 - 1. Staffing cuts by SCUSD are proposed to reduce 7.8 FTE positions
 - ii. Also JFK will reduce administration staff by 1 assistant principal
 - iii. MAYBE a Counseling position (subsequently SCUSD did NOT cut this per DVN)
 - iv. 1 office staff position
- b. DVN hopes SSC will fund at least 4 teaching positions (re-instate them after funds cut by SCUSD), 1 Counseling position (not necessary now), and 1 office staff position (trying bilingual funding but we may not be able to use that)
 - i. MP asked that we look at enrollment trends from last few years (DVN indicated feeder schools have been on a steady decline-especially Sam Brannan (now 300 or so but was 700 at one time; our largest feeder)
 - 1. 1,917 for 2022-23 projection (2,161 currently enrolled at JFK)
- c. DH asked about technology maintenance
 - i. Maintenance agreements, replacing chromebooks/teacher macbooks, etc.
 - ii. EM mentioned the district trying to achieve 1:2 ratio to have a device ALSO at home, but DVN felt that was not going to be a goal (1:1 more reasonable)
 - iii. DVN mentioned SCUSD replaces chromebooks as needed as of last year, going forward
 - iv. DVN responded to DH's "priority" allocations for 2022-23 as (**sorry if misquoted**):
 - 1. \$313,000 LCFF/\$291,000 Title I/\$60,000 Bilingual
 - a. School site coordinator funding comes out of our annual budget (Pella)
 - 2. Any time we fund teachers, we need to allocate approximately \$120,000 (high end, includes benefits package)
 - 3. \$400,000 approximately will be used for funding 4 teachers for 2022-23
 - 4. So approximately \$200,000 will remain to spend for 2022-23 (non-staff)
 - v. MP asked about future status of social worker
 - vi. MP mentioned tech \$ could be less in future since they come with technology from middle schools
- d. EM asked about EQA program status for 2022-23
 - i. DVN's vision (may be different from new principal he warns) is the Youth and Family Mental Health advocate would be a good staff member to consider taking over the program in the future, best to discuss with new principal
 - ii. DVN said climate IS one of our goals so can justify funding
 - iii. EM asked if EQA is funded at the site levels
 - 1. DVN mentioned not much expense since training is done in-house (free)
 - 2. Need teacher availability if they want to assist
 - 3. EQA outreach (training)
- e. DH asked about funding deadline for 202-23 regarding the positions
 - i. DVN responded March 15th is the teacher staffing notification date
 - 1. There IS time to review SPSA/allocate funds before final cut decisions are made

7. No public comment (no public present)

8. Meeting adjourned at 5:08 pm (Motion: MW; 2nd MP)